# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,066	09/11/2003	Brian K. Smith	CE11386JI121	6166
<sup>24273</sup> MOTOROLA,	7590 11/28/2007 INC		EXAM	IINER
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION LAW DEPT 8000 WEST SUNRISE BLVD			DEAN, RAYMOND S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FT LAUDERI	FT LAUDERDAL, FL 33322		2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/660,066	SMITH ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Raymond S. Dean	2618			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	<b>J.</b> nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Se</u>	eptember 2007.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) 4-16 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-3 and 17 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)🖾	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
· <u>—</u>	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number:

10/660,066 Art Unit: 2618

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 7, 2007 has been entered.

# Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 3 and 17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

During passive scanning a station receives probe responses from an access point and does not transmit probe requests, which is active scanning. The probe responses are in response to probe requests. Since stations in passive scanning mode only receive probe responses and said probe responses are in response to probe requests there can be a scenario wherein a passive scanning station can receive probe responses in response to probe request by an active scanning station. Kaidar thus further reads on the limitations in question.

10/660,066 Art Unit: 2618

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-3 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kaidar et al. (US 2004/0264413).

Regarding Claim 1, Kaidar teaches a method of receiving RF signal quality information comprising the steps of: at a first station, receiving a plurality of probe responses transmitted from an access point to the first station over a channel (Sections 0001, 0020, during passive scanning the station receives a plurality of probe responses, See Response To Arguments above); determining a current transmit rate of the probe responses (Sections 0001, 0020, in typical WLANs the stations synchronize with the probe responses such that information can be extracted, in order for said synchronization to take place there will be a determination of the transmit rate of the probe responses); synchronizing signal processing to the current transmit rate of the probe responses (Sections 0001, 0020, in typical WLANs the stations synchronize with the probe responses such that information can be extracted); and processing the probe responses to determine a signal quality of the channel or a transmission protocol being

Application/Control Number:

10/660,066

Art Unit: 2618

used over the channel (Section 0020); wherein the probe responses are transmitted in reply to probe requests generated by a second station and wherein the probe requests are transmitted form the second station to the access point (Section 0001, passive scanning, See Response To Arguments above).

Regarding Claim 2, Kaidar teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim

1. Kaidar further teaches the first station generating a probe request responsive to

failing to receive a probe response for a predetermined period of time (Section 0001, in

typical WLANs, if the station cannot detect probe responses said station will generate a

probe request).

Regarding Claim 3, Kaidar teaches all of the claimed limitations recited in Claim

1. Kaidar further teaches the first station delaying a start of channel monitoring (Section 0001, in typical WLANs, the station can delay the channel monitoring in order for synchronization to occur).

Regarding Claim 17, Kaidar teaches a system for synchronizing a radio transceiver to a wireless local area network, comprising: a first receiver for receiving a plurality of probe responses over a channel in response to at least one probe request, wherein the probe responses are transmitted from an access point in response to probe requests transmitted from another device to the access point (Sections 0001, 0020, during passive scanning the station receives a plurality of probe responses, See Response To Arguments above); a processor in the radio transceiver programmed to: initiate a probe request if no probe responses are detected at the first receiver (Section 0001, in typical WLANs, if the station cannot detect probe responses said station will

generate a probe request); stop further probe requests if probe responses in response to probe requests from the other device are received at the radio receiver (Section 0001, in typical WLANs, the station will halt transmission of probe requests when synchronization with the probe responses occurs); and synchronize to the probe requests from the other device or the probe responses transmitted from the access point in response to the probe requests transmitted from the other device (Sections 0001, 0020, passive scanning, in typical WLANs the stations synchronize with the probe responses such that information can be extracted).

### Allowable Subject Matter

**5.** The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 4 – 16 are allowable for the same reasons set forth in the Office Action dated March 21, 2007.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond S. Dean whose telephone number is 571-272-7877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Raymond S. Dean November 13, 2007

> EDWARD F. URBAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600